CIMA has been saying for a while now that finance professionals need to acquire a much broader set of skills if they are to survive in the world of modern business. The pace of change – partly driven by the advances in information technology and the pervading influence of globalisation – has become relentless. The complexity of work has increased while career paths have become less obvious due to the flattening of organisational structures. The skills and knowledge that were sufficient even 50 years ago are now proving to be inadequate. Managers and executives are having continually to acquire new skills, be it in IT or organisational strategy. The traditional method of one-off instruction designed to equip an individual with enough knowledge to last a lifetime has been superseded by the concept of lifelong learning. As far back as 1977, Gross wrote:

‘Lifelong learning means self-directed growth. It means understanding yourself and the world. It means acquiring new skills and powers – the only true wealth you can never lose. It means investment in yourself.’

The Financial Management Accounting Committee (FMAC) of the International Federation of Accountants (IFAC) is about to publish a paper entitled ‘The Role of the Chief Financial Officer in 2010’. Amongst the CFOs and FDs interviewed, there seems to be a general consensus that the nature of finance professionals’ tasks is changing to encompass a much wider set of skills. Angela Holtham from Nabisco, for example, pointed out that this evolution is leaving the traditional underpinning of the finance role behind and embracing a much wider and more common range of responsibilities. The role is changing from being ‘The Guardian of the Books’ to the business side and away from the transaction side.

It is not surprising, then, that individuals and organisations are struggling to find new ways of learning that will reflect the varied and complex demands of a modern workplace. Recent years have witnessed the emergence of coaching and mentoring in many companies alongside the more traditional training methods. This briefing attempts to give a broad overview of the concepts involved, as well as some basic pointers about the practicalities of establishing a corporate coaching or mentoring scheme.
Definitions of coaching and mentoring

Despite what some HR consultants may tell you, coaching and mentoring are not recent phenomena. Edification of some kind has existed since Homer’s Mentor advised Odysseus and thus lent his name to this very human activity. It was probably the goddess Athena herself, disguised as Mentor, who guided Odysseus’ son Telemachus in search for his father. Whatever the case, these ‘wise and trusted counsellors’ have remained a feature of human interactions throughout history. The common noun ‘mentor’ was first recorded in 1750. Interestingly, the etymology of the noun ‘coach’ is actually Hungarian. It is derived from the word for a vehicle or a carriage – the idea being that instructors carried their pupils!

Of course, the connotations of both of these words have widened significantly since they were first recorded. Their relatively recent adoption into HR theory and practice has turned them into verbs, implying institutionalised training strategy. According to a survey of training managers by the CIPD in 1999, some 87 per cent of UK companies have some kind of a coaching or mentoring scheme. There is certainly no shortage of books, papers and conferences on the subject.

There are many kinds of coaching and mentoring – from life coaching to mentoring schemes designed exclusively for women or minorities to corporate peer-to-peer mentoring or ‘buddy’ systems. In this briefing, we are specifically referring to coaching and mentoring in an organisational context, whether formal or informal. There are no shortage of books, papers and conferences on the subject.

Differences between a coach and a mentor

So how do we define these activities? It is worth pointing out at the beginning that – although they are distinct in both the format they adopt and the desired outcomes – there are sufficient similarities and overlaps to allow us to occasionally use them as synonyms in this briefing. A word of warning, however – there is no universal definition of either of these terms and therefore of the difference between them. There will inevitably be some who disagree with the citations below. This is why we list three similar though not identical sources.

A recent article in the Financial Times (Clutterbuck, 2001) succinctly highlighted the main features of each as well as their differences:

Coaching is concerned primarily with performance and the development of definable skills. It usually starts with the learning goal already identified. The most effective coaches share with mentors the capability to help the learner develop the skills of listening to and observing themselves, which leads to much faster acquisition of skills and modification of behaviour. Coaches also share with mentors the role of critical friend – confronting executives with truths no one else feels able to address with them.

Whereas the coach is more likely to approach these issues through direct feedback, the mentor will tend to approach them through questioning processes that force the executive to recognise the problems for themselves. Mentoring is usually a longer-term relationship and is more concerned with helping the executive determine what goals to pursue and why. It seeks to build wisdom – the ability to apply skills, knowledge and experience in new situations and to new problems.

Differences between a coach and a mentor

The Coaching and Mentoring Network summarises it like this:

- Coaching:
  - focuses on achieving specific objectives, usually within a preferred time period.

- Mentoring:
  - follows an open and evolving agenda and deals with a range of issues.
Benabou and Benabou (2000) present the differences in a tabular form:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coach</th>
<th>Mentor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protégé’s learning is primarily focused on abilities</td>
<td>Learning is focused on attitudes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical or professional focus</td>
<td>Focus on personal and professional development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective use of the protégé’s existing competencies</td>
<td>Helps the protégé realise his/her potential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional interaction with the protégé</td>
<td>More interaction with an affective component</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspires respect for his/her professional competencies</td>
<td>Is a role model</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from Benabou and Benabou, 2000 by permission of John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

To summarise, coaching is a little bit like having the professional equivalent of a fitness trainer – a specialist dedicated to working with you on specific goals and objectives you would like to achieve for whatever reasons. Mentors, on the other hand, are more likely to have followed a career path similar to the one on which you are embarking. They are, therefore, charged with passing on their knowledge and expertise. Importantly, the knowledge transmitted in this way will contain invaluable details about organisational values, beliefs and culture that are hard to acquire through formal training.

Most definitions emphasise that the difference between coaching and mentoring is in the length of time they take but that is somewhat of a fallacy. Both are finite relationships, the average lifespan being six to eighteen months. Mentoring can develop into a friendship and, therefore, last much longer, but there are inherent dangers in blurring the role boundaries which are discussed later in this briefing.

Mentoring and coaching parallels

As already mentioned, coaching and mentoring do share some common features. There could be occasions where coaches have to assume mentoring roles and vice versa. In most cases, they are both independent of line management relationships as that may stifle the openness and honesty which is – or should be – at the heart of a successful dialogue. A coach or a mentor in effect becomes ‘an accountability partner’ – working with your best interests in mind and bringing fresh insights to either specific tasks or your career or private life as a whole.

Neither coaching nor mentoring is about teaching, instruction or being told what to do. As learning styles, their essence is facilitation. It should never be confused with simply giving advice or even feedback. Their role is to ask the right questions in order to generate individual self-awareness which can, in turn, lead to informed decision making, at whatever level of concern. It is not about giving the right answers – the mentee probably already knows them. This is why coaches and even mentors don’t have to have the same level of technical expertise as their protégés. Their task is not to magically solve the problems but to question how you go about looking for solutions. It is not psychological mollycoddling or a substitute for hard work.

Neither are one-off events but processes with distinct evolutionary stages. As individuals attain specific goals and learn new behaviours, the goalposts move accordingly until both participants are satisfied that the overall objective has been achieved. That objective can be anything from a complete career change to learning a new procedure.

Finding a coach or a mentor

The best way of finding a coach or a mentor by far is personal recommendation. Failing that, you should consult bodies such as the International Coaching Federation, The UK College of Life Coaching, The Life Coaching Academy and The Industrial Society’s School of Coaching. They should all be able to point you in a right direction when it comes to finding a reputable scheme or individual.

It is worth noting that, because these are relatively new professions, a certain amount of caution should be exercised before allowing staff to divulge professional and personal information to a third party. Eventually, there will probably be a self-regulating code of practice similar to those in law or accounting.

Training

Although there probably are certain personality traits that predispose individuals to professions such as coaching or mentoring, the importance of training should not be underestimated. No-one is born a mentor – a nurturing personality does not mean that you will be any good at running a mentoring scheme or coaching individuals. Mentors and coaches, like everyone else, require training, supervision and feedback.

Finding a mentor as opposed to a coach is more problematic because the nature of the relationship is more personal. Some organisations adapt a laissez-faire attitude and leave it up to mentors and mentees to seek each other out, as it were. Some set up mandatory schemes where a third party – usually someone from HR in consultation with line managers – assigns individuals to senior members of staff who will act as mentors. The process is sometimes turned on its head – reverse mentoring is where junior members of staff mentor senior managers, often on IT-related matters.

When it works, the benefits of a structured approach are clear – all the tacit, difficult-to-capture knowledge is
released and passed on to the next generation. However, there is a danger that such an interventionist approach could result in personality clashes that undermine the whole basis of a mentoring relationship. (See the article in Harvard Business Review, Nov/Dec 2000 entitled ‘Too Old To Learn?’ about the perils of reverse mentoring. You can obtain the article through CIMA’s Technical Advisory Service.) In either case, good preparation on both sides is crucial, as is an awareness of potential problems.

Unfortunately, there has not been a plethora of studies on the best ways to match individuals in mentoring (and coaching) relationships. The little research that does exist seems to point to similarities between participants in beliefs, values and life goals. However, this ‘attraction to like’ seems only to work at the level of general interests and background (whether social, cultural or educational). It does not mean that mentors and mentees need to have identical management or learning styles. Quite the opposite – it seems that when this was the case, mentees soon lost interest as they perceived there was little new that could be learnt.

Whatever matching approach you decide to take, make sure you meet the coach or the mentor before the process gets under way as good rapport between participants is essential. (This is somewhat less true for coaching as it is more about action planning and setting measurable objectives and less about learning by example.)

With one-to-one mentoring, it is easy to establish early on whether sufficient empathy is present. Even on a corporate level, those in charge of setting up a mentoring or coaching scheme should consider how the potential candidate(s) fits in with the overall organisational culture and the individuals likely to be involved.

Good rapport is also important because coaching and mentoring are powerful relationships that are open to abuse from both sides. The participants need to agree clear rules and boundaries before the process begins and stick to the same parameters throughout. Unless there is total trust, openness and commitment to confidentiality, the scheme will quite simply be unsuccessful. In addition, it should always be a voluntary programme – no one should be coerced into being coached or mentored.

Reciprocity of relationships

It is worth remembering that rather than being one-sided, the best mentoring relationships are reciprocal. You don’t simply turn up once a fortnight (or however often) and listen to some words of wisdom that will change your life forever. Both participants must play an active part, even though the onus is on the person being mentored or coached. A good coach or mentor will set tasks and objectives that need to be tackled before each meeting. It is then up to the individual to complete them, reflect upon them and try to apply them in practice. If there is no input, the coach or mentor might decide to terminate the relationship.

A lot of popular literature on the subject focuses on the recipient of mentoring or coaching as if the relationship exists solely for their benefit. In fact, people choose to become coaches or mentors because they themselves get something out of it. Many would say that they enjoy being involved in someone else’s development and that they enjoy nurturing young talent. Those who are managers themselves see it as a way of becoming better at their job by developing their listening skills and the ability to see things from a different perspective. Because it bypasses direct line management, mentees are more likely to be honest about their work problems – and thus provide an invaluable insight into management roles. (The interaction between coaching and mentoring and management is discussed towards the end of this briefing.)

Feedback and performance measurement

Companies should ensure that there is a proper feedback mechanism at the end of any coaching or mentoring undertaken. This should enable an honest evaluation of its success – or otherwise – and provide the relevant information for any follow up action. Feedback should ideally be sought at regular intervals. This creates a timely opportunity to identify potential trouble spots and rectify any mistakes. Many coaches ask their clients to fill in questionnaires but feedback can also take the form of a discussion, formal or otherwise. It should involve the HR department or the scheme champion, if one has been appointed. There should be a report at the end detailing results and recommendations, based on the analysis of resources (including time and money) and participants’ feedback.

Barriers to effective coaching and mentoring

There is, as Long (1997) described it, a ‘darker side of mentoring’, despite the fact that most of the literature on the subject tends not to mention it. The majority of it concerns issues of organisational culture – i.e. the context in which mentoring and coaching takes place – and the interpersonal issues between the participants of the programme and the rest of the company. There is no space here to go into details of potential problems but some issues to bear in mind are (adapted from Ehrich and Hansford, 1999):

- the incorrect matching of mentors/coaches and learners;
- the lack of top-down support;
- the resentment felt by those not involved in the scheme or the perception of favouritism;
- the creation of false promotional expectations;
- the overdependence of the mentor or mentee;
- gender issues;
- blurring of role boundaries and so on.
Coca-Cola’s coaching programme, although less formal, is nevertheless structured through five different categories which provide a flexible approach for different situations. They are modelling, instructing, enhancing performance, problem solving and inspiration and support. Both coaching and mentoring are used as tools to support human resource development strategy and, therefore, the wider objectives of value generation within the company. They are thus directly linked to long-term corporate strategy.

Benefits of coaching and mentoring in organisations

Why have coaching and mentoring become so popular recently? Part of the answer surely lies in what the management guru Charles Handy once said would become the major challenge of our time – managing individuals and not human resources. Companies like to say that people are their greatest asset but all too often – as in Dilbert cartoons – they slip to the ninth place behind carbon paper. To stem the flow of valuable intellectual capital, companies are trying to find new ways of retaining and motivating staff and thus increasing their productivity.

Recruitment and retention

Coaching and mentoring can be a useful tool in recruitment and retention. Companies tend to spend a lot of money on anything from bottles of wine to full concierge services, forgetting that such incentives ultimately don’t stop many people from moving on. What does is having interesting and fulfilling work to do and being treated as valuable individuals. By addressing personal as well as professional development needs – and thus looking after the individual rather than the amorphous human resources – coaching and mentoring can keep turnover rates in check. Staff feel valued and the management is clearly communicating its commitment to training and development. In a climate where internally-generated intellectual assets play a key role in creating competitive advantage, the bottom line will suffer unless you look after your staff.

Continuous learning

Part of the reason for the surge in popularity also comes from our changing attitudes to work and learning. As mentioned at the beginning, lifelong learning has replaced the idea of one-off tuition. Coaching and mentoring, which can be undertaken at any stage in one’s career, are perfectly suited to replace outdated learning styles. They can be adapted to all levels within a company – from young managers seeking technical or specialised knowledge to senior executives requiring motivational training. CEOs in particular can benefit from having a coach or a mentor – or both. Life can be lonely at the top, which is why it is helpful to have someone with whom you can talk without fear of embarrassment or appearing weak or indecisive.
**TECHNICAL BRIEFING**

**MENTORING AND COACHING**

**Training versus mentoring and coaching**

Companies are beginning to question the value of short-term training programmes. They are designed to instil the company ethos in a relatively artificial environment and, therefore, frequently produce short-term benefits. The same scenario is probably repeated in thousands of offices throughout the country – senior managers go off ‘tree-hugging’ for a few days, come back full of enthusiasm and within five days things go back to normal.

Mentoring and coaching – because they focus on the individual and tend to be more long-term – are capable of initiating a real change in behaviour rather than just rhetoric about it. They are not a substitute for training but should be used in conjunction with it.

In Hale’s (1999) research about mentoring, mentees categorically denied that the outcomes could have been achieved through training alone. In fact, one US study showed that while training alone increases productivity by 22.8 per cent, for training combined with coaching the figure was nearly 90 per cent. Perhaps the skills acquired in this way could, as Hale suggests, be the bridge between training and implementation. They change people’s attitudes by addressing precisely the issues that normally act as barriers to putting newly acquired skills into practice – such as confidence or risk-taking.

**Soft skills acquisition**

As mentioned at the beginning, many of the skills the finance professional of today needs are the so-called soft skills such as creativity, the ability to manage change, teamwork and so on. By their very nature, these are the skills that are difficult – some would say impossible – to teach in any kind of a formal training programme. This is where coaching and mentoring can be beneficial as part of the range of approaches used by organisations. In an interview for the forthcoming FMAC paper ‘The role of the chief financial officer in 2010’, John Connors, the Chief Financial Officer of Microsoft, said that ‘CFOs will have to become much more effective in framing their company’s story consistent with the company’s financial story’. The aims of coaching and mentoring are essentially the same as those of good management. Both try to make the most of people. The characteristics of a good mentor can be narrowed down to the following (Hale, 1999):

- listening, openness/self-disclosure;
- lateral thinking, challenging;
- bravery in involving the mentee in certain new work experiences;
- making time available;
- enthusiasm.

Clearly, these are not overly ambitious and should, in fact, be a part of every manager’s toolkit. Unfortunately, they very rarely are in practice.

Some writers and practitioners go as far as to advocate that every manager should be a coach to his or her staff. The term management to them implies a command-and-control relationship unsuitable for a modern workplace – flatter organisational structures demand more fluid working alliances. For example, Murphy (1995) calls for a general move from management to coaching. The so-called ‘generative coaching’ is about understanding people in their wholeness and then acting on the basis of that understanding. The aim is to establish a mutual learning process where there is no one right mental model but there is enough space to consider various choices and possibilities.

**Coaching style of management**

One could in fact maintain that managers already possess the capacity to influence the performance and learning of others. Used actively, that can in itself be called coaching.

---

**Benefits of mentoring programs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mentee/protégé</th>
<th>Mentor</th>
<th>Organisation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Career advancement</td>
<td>Personal fulfilment</td>
<td>Development of managers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal support</td>
<td>Assistance on project</td>
<td>Increased commitment to the organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning and development</td>
<td>Financial rewards</td>
<td>Cost effectiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased confidence</td>
<td>Increased confidence</td>
<td>Improved organisational communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistance and feedback</td>
<td>Revitalised interest in work</td>
<td>Change management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New ideas</td>
<td>New ideas</td>
<td>Leadership development</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from Ehrich and Hansford, 1999
Its boundaries are likely to be narrower than where there is no direct line management crossover but there are nevertheless sizeable benefits. Being coached and being taught how to coach can demonstrate to young managers that looking after and nurturing your staff takes more than a quarterly team meeting and a pat on the back.

It is no surprise that some managers are reluctant to adopt coaching into their management style. Because it requires total honesty and surrendering your personal agenda, it inevitably detracts from the mystique and ‘importance’ of being a manager.

A coaching style of management should be the adoption of a non-judgmental questioning method used to empower staff to reflect on their own actions and behaviour and therefore internalise any necessary changes. It is the opposite of the command and control paradigm which has been so prevalent in management. A part of every manager’s job, in other words, should be this facilitating process that consists of questioning, rather than instruction.

There does, however, need to be a very clear distinction between a coach/mentor and a manager. If the line is blurred, coaching and mentoring can sabotage good management – for example, a learner could feel that all the problems with his or her line management relationship can be taken to this independent third party. In reality, every member of staff should be able to voice their concerns to their manager and give him or her constructive criticism of their performance. Managing, then, should adopt features of coaching or mentoring but there should be no overlap in crucial areas. A coach or mentor, for example, is not there to give performance feedback, whereas appraisals should always be a part of the manager’s role.

Corporate strategy

To conclude, coaching and mentoring are not silver bullet or bolt-on solutions that will magically boost company morale or halt a haemorrhaging staff turnover. They should not be employed as reactive measures because they may mask the underlying problem rather than solve it. They need to be an integral part of the human resources strategy and, through that, the wider organisational strategy too, always aligned with corporate objectives. By tying in individual and organisational goals, coaching and mentoring can become strategic in nature. Correspondingly, they are capable of making strategy accessible by cascading it down to the level of individual concern. But they should never become a way of abdicating responsibility for good management or be used to impair line management relationships.

For example, Coca-Cola Foods incorporates both coaching and mentoring in its human resources development effort. It perceives them as being the key to its competitive advantage and the creation of a high performing organisation. Mentoring and coaching are used to strengthen the link between development and strategy through a threefold approach (Veale and Watchel, 1996):

1. to strengthen the link between business strategy and developmental focus;
2. to involve leadership of the organisation in all aspects of development;
3. to use a variety of developmental tools to match personal and organisational needs better.

The lack of clear commitment from the top and the lack of conducive organisational culture can mean that even the best thought out schemes are destined to fail. In that case, coaching and mentoring become the latest fad to be artificially grafted onto the usual practices. Some organisations are simply not ready for it. For example, if there is nowhere to be promoted within the company despite the newly acquired skills and competencies, it is bound to result in frustration (Ehrich and Hansford, 1999). However, mentees’ expectations also need to be managed. It is a balancing act that can be achieved with sound planning and assessment.

To conclude, mentoring and coaching can never be a panacea for organisations in trouble. They are simply two of the ways in which progressive companies tackle staff training and development.
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